

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,434	10/766,434 01/26/2004		Donald E. Black	4012	3611
29356	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
JERRY SI			CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S		
617 CROG FREMONT		120	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3711 DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ε.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>			
	Office Action Comment	10/766,434	BLACK, DONALD E.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mike Chambers	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u>	ugust 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,7-11,13 and 16-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,7-11,13 and 16-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers	·					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	ation No ved in this National Stage				
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/766,434

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,7,9,11,17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2379006) in view of Pomilia (4682773). Johnson discloses the elements of claim 1, however it fails to disclose a bat with a smaller diameter than a conventional bat. Pomilia discloses a bat with a smaller diameter than a conventional bat (fig 1, 1:30-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the shape of the Pomilia device with the bat of Johnson in order to increase user satisfaction by permitting the user to improve their eye and hand coordination (1:34-35).

As to claim 7: Johnson discloses a threaded portion (fig 3).

As to claim 9: Johnson discloses a plastic material (fig 3, 2R:9-11).

As to claim 11: Johnson discloses an outer cap (fig 1).

As to claim 17: Johnson discloses a threaded portion (fig 3).

As to claim 19: Johnson discloses a plastic material (fig 3, 2R:9-11).

Claim 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2379006) in view of Pomilia (4682773) as applied above and further in view of Franssen (6918843). Franssen discloses a bore of a consistent diameter (fig 2). The

Art Unit: 3711

specification provides no surprising or unexpected results from using a bore of a consistent diameter therefore this is considered a design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the consistent bore of Franssen with the apparatus in order to reduce the number of manufacturing steps and reduce the cost to manufacture the item and increase user satisfaction.

Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2379006) in view of Pomilia (4682773) as applied above and further in view of Owen et al (3116926). Owen discloses the use of a compression spring (fig 2). The specification provides no surprising or unexpected results from the position of the spring, therefore this is considered a design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the spring retention means of Owen with the apparatus in order to more easily change weight locations and sizes and increase user satisfaction.

Claims 10, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2379006) in view of Pomilia (4682773) as applied above and further in view of Official Notice. Official Notice was taken in the prior office action that the use of aluminum is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any one of several equivalent materials including aluminum and plastic based on cost and design considerations.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (2379006) in view of Pomilia (4682773) as applied above and further in view of Owen

Art Unit: 3711

et al (3116926). Owen discloses the use of a flanged end cap (fig 6). The specification provides no surprising or unexpected results from the use of a flanged end, therefore this is considered a design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any one of several equivalent end caps based on cost and design considerations.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the existing claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/766,434

Art Unit: 3711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-

4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4682773\*2379006\*3116926\*6918843

Michael Chambers

Examiner Art Unit 3711

August 31, 2006

EUGENE KIM

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER